

# BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Application of San Diego Gas & Electric Company (U 902 G) and Southern California Gas Company (U 904 G) for Authority to Integrate Their Gas Transmission Rates, Establish Firm Access Rights, and Provide Off-System Gas Transportation Services

A. 04-12-004 (Filed December 2, 2004)

#### COMMENTS OF SEMPRA LNG ON THE PROPOSED DECISION

SEMPRA LNG

Steven C. Nelson William D. Rapp 101 Ash Street, 13th Floor San Diego, California 92101 Telephone: (619) 699-5150 Facsimile: (619) 699-5027

> Attorneys for Sempra LNG

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

TAB	SLE OF AUTHORITIES	ii
I.	BACKGROUND	2
II.	REQUESTED CLARIFICATION	4
III.	CONCLUSION	7

## **TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

1.	Rule 14.3, Rules of Practice and Procedure of the		
	California Public Utilities Commission		
2.	Decision 06-04-033, Opinion Regarding the System Integration		
	<b>Proposal ( April 13, 2006)7</b>		

# BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Application of San Diego Gas & Electric Company (U 902 G) and Southern California Gas Company (U 904 G) for Authority to Integrate Their Gas Transmission Rates, Establish Firm Access Rights, and Provide Off-System Gas Transportation Services

A. 04-12-004 (Filed December 2, 2004)

#### COMMENTS OF SEMPRA LNG ON THE PROPOSED DECISION

In accordance with Rule 14.3 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, Sempra LNG hereby submits its comments on the proposed decision of Administrative Law Judge Wong ("Proposed Decision") issued on October 31, 2006 in this proceeding. <sup>1</sup>

The Proposed Decision fairly and effectively balances the diverse interests of the parties to this proceeding. In adopting, with appropriate modifications, the firm access rights ("FAR") proposal of Southern California Gas Company ("SoCalGas") and San Diego Gas & Electric Company ("SDG&E"), the Proposed Decision provides gas consumers and market participants the necessary assurance that natural gas supplies will be able to access the southern California market.

The Proposed Decision further recognizes the importance of providing new gas supply sources with access to the SoCalGas/SDG&E system on a basis comparable to

1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Sempra LNG has no comments on the Alternate Proposed Decision of Commissioner Brown.

existing supplies. The Proposed Decision enables such access through a Step 1 set-aside to parties funding new receipt capacity on an incremental basis. Although a number of parties, including Sempra LNG, expressed a preference for the Joint Proposal, the Step 1 set-aside contemplated by the Proposed Decision would accomplish the same general objectives. Contrary to the assertions of several parties that oppose firm access rights in any form, the Proposed Decision demonstrates that the key elements of the Joint Proposal can be effectively incorporated into the SoCalGas/SDG&E FAR proposal.

Sempra LNG therefore supports the findings and conclusions reflected in the Proposed Decision, subject to one clarification discussed below. The clarification suggested by Sempra LNG addresses the Step 1 set-aside granted to parties that fund new displacement capacity at the Otay Mesa receipt point. By further defining the limited circumstances under which such capacity will be subject to proration, the requested clarification will optimize the incorporation of the Joint Proposal into the utilities' FAR proposal and will ensure that gas consumers will be able to realize fully the benefits of new supplies delivered through the use of displacement capacity. This clarification does not adversely impact other supply sources.

### I. BACKGROUND

Although the Proposed Decision does not adopt the Joint Proposal on a stand alone basis, it does incorporate a number of the key elements of the Joint Proposal into the system of FARs proposed by the utilities. The Proposed Decision correctly notes that "the premise of the Joint Proposal is that a firm scheduling right to capacity is created for new or expanded receipt points that are built on a displacement capacity or expansion

capacity basis, and paid for by a funding party." The Proposed Decision converts the "scheduling right" that would have been granted under the Joint Proposal into a FAR under the utilities proposal by granting to parties that have funded new receipt capacity a Step 1 set-aside for that capacity.

With regard to this Step 1 set-aside, the Proposed Decision contains a discussion of the difference between "expansion" capacity and "displacement" capacity and the treatment of each of these two types of capacity under the Joint Proposal. The Joint Proposal defines "expansion capacity" as capacity that "increases the overall downstream capacity of the SoCalGas/SDG&E system." The Joint Proposal further defines "displacement capacity" as capacity that "increases the takeaway capacity from a receipt point but does not add to the overall downstream capacity of the SoCalGas/SDG&E backbone transmission system." The scheduling right for displacement capacity under the Joint Proposal is "subject to reduction only to accommodate, on a nondiscriminatory basis ..., nominations at other receipt points in the same [t]ransmission [z]one and to accommodate force majeure, scheduled maintenance, or unscheduled maintenance situations as defined in SoCalGas Rules 1 and 23."

The Joint Proposal further addresses displacement capacity in the Southern Zone, where the Otay Mesa receipt point will be located once it is completed and placed in service. Under the Joint Proposal, the treatment of displacement capacity in the Southern Zone is generally the same as displacement capacity in other parts of the SoCalGas/SDG&E system. There are, however, specific provisions that address the

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Proposed Decision at p. 68.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Exhibit 85, Attachment A, p. 3.

<sup>4</sup> Id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Id. at p. 7.

minimum flow requirements, if any, of SoCalGas at Ehrenberg and the possibility of additional displacement capacity at Otay Mesa of up to 700 MMcf/day.

Significantly, the provisions of the Joint Proposal relating to displacement capacity are premised on the assumption that there would be no generally applicable system of FARs. The Proposed Decision notes that the Joint Proposal "is limited to creating scheduling rights for new or expanded receipt point capacity. It does not establish a system of FAR for the existing receipt points." Accordingly, the limitations on displacement capacity were added to the Joint Proposal primarily to address the situation where, **in the absence of a system of FARs**, Cycle 1 nominations into the Southern Zone could exceed the available takeaway capacity. In such a situation, prorationing would be necessary to preserve the ability of existing supply sources to access the utilities' system. As shown below, such prorationing is not necessary (except in the limited cases of force majeure or system maintenance) under the generally applicable system of FARs that would be adopted by the Proposed Decision. This is because the amount of FARs awarded would not exceed the firm takeaway capacity of the Southern Zone.

# II. REOUESTED CLARIFICATION

With regard to new displacement capacity at the Otay Mesa receipt point, the Proposed Decision states that:

"If a funding party builds new capacity or expands existing capacity on a

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Proposed Decision at p. 68.

displacement capacity basis at Otay Mesa, and the funding party pays for it on an incremental basis, the funding party shall receive a Step 1 set-aside at Otay Mesa for the capacity that the funding party paid for. The capacity shall be subject to the scheduling right limitations described in section 7.b. of Exhibit A of Exhibit 85."<sup>7</sup>

Section 7.b of the Joint Proposal (which is Exhibit A of Exhibit 85) provides for a pro rata allocation of the displacement capacity at Otay Mesa with the capacity at other receipt points if total scheduled Cycle 1 quantities exceed the 1210 MMcf/day of firm takeaway capacity in the Southern Zone. Section 7.b., therefore, does not contemplate that there would be a system of FARs in the Southern Zone. In the absence of FARs, Cycle 1 nominations could exceed the 1210 MMcf/day of firm takeaway capacity, which would make a pro rata allocation necessary.

Under a system of FARs, however, the utilities will only award FARs in the Southern Zone in an amount equal to or less than the aggregate firm takeaway capacity of the Southern Zone. In other words, the total FARs awarded at Ehrenberg, Blythe/North Baja and Otay Mesa (including the displacement capacity of 400 MMcf/day at Otay Mesa that will be subject to the Step 1 set-aside) will not exceed 1210 MMcf/day. Thus, absent an event of force majeure, or scheduled or unscheduled maintenance, there will be no need to prorate primary firm volumes in the Southern Zone.

Sempra LNG and any other holders of FARs that result from the Otay Mesa displacement capacity will be paying not only for the incremental costs of adding that capacity, but also the \$.05 FAR reservation rate. Under the utilities' FAR proposal, the payment of this reservation rate results in a firm right at the primary receipt point, which in this case will be Otay Mesa. That firm right should be subject to proration only to the

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Proposed Decision at p. 73.

extent necessary to preserve the primary firm rights of other parties in the Southern Zone.

Therefore, Sempra LNG requests the following clarification to the Proposed Decision:

- The FARs resulting from the displacement capacity of up to 700 MMcf/day at Otay Mesa ("Otay Mesa FARs") shall be subject to proration only in the event of force majeure or scheduled or unscheduled maintenance that reduces the firm takeaway capacity of the Southern Zone.
- In the event of such force majeure or maintenance, the Otay Mesa FARs shall be prorated to the extent necessary to preserve the utilization of FARS with primary rights at other receipt points in the Southern Zone to the extent such FARS are not the result of displacement capacity. The Otay Mesa FARs shall not be subject to proration with, or as a result of, nominations for alternate firm or interruptible service.

This clarification preserves the rights of parties holding FARs with primary rights at a receipt point in the Southern Zone that result from existing or expansion capacity. Those parties will in no way be adversely affected by the Step 1 set-aside of displacement capacity at Otay Mesa. Indeed, the clarification expressly provides that the displacement capacity at Otay Mesa will be prorated to the extent necessary to preserve the primary firm rights of other parties.

This clarification will also enhance the benefits of the FAR proposal to California gas consumers. The Commission has previously found that new LNG supplies from Otay

Mesa are expected to provide substantial benefits to the southern California market.<sup>8</sup> In order for end users and other gas consumers to realize those benefits, the new supplies must be able to access the SoCalGas/SDG&E system on a reliable and consistent basis.

### III. CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, Sempra LNG respectfully requests that the Commission clarify the Proposed Decision in the manner set forth herein. In accordance with Rule 14.3(a) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, attached hereto is an Appendix setting forth Sempra LNG's suggested changes to the Proposed Decision.

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Decision 06-04-033, Opinion Regarding the System Integration Proposal (April 13, 2006) at p. 47.

Dated: November 20, 2006 Respectfully submitted,

### SEMPRA LNG

/S/ WILLIAM D. RAPP

Ву \_\_\_\_\_

William D. Rapp 101 Ash Street, HQ-13 San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: (619)699-5050 Facsimile: (619)699-5027

Attorney for Sempra LNG

#### **APPENDIX**

# Page 73: The following should be added at the end of the last sentence of the second full paragraph on page 73 of the Proposed Decision:

", subject to the following clarification to reflect the incorporation of the Joint Proposal into the generally-applicable system of FARs adopted in this Decision:

- (i) The FARs resulting from the displacement capacity of up to 700 MMcf/day at Otay Mesa ("Otay Mesa FARs") shall be subject to proration only in the event of force majeure or scheduled or unscheduled maintenance that reduces the firm takeaway capacity of the Southern Zone.
- (ii) In the event of such force majeure or maintenance, the Otay Mesa FARs shall be prorated to the extent necessary to preserve the utilization of FARS with primary rights at other receipt points in the Southern Zone to the extent such FARS are not the result of displacement capacity. The Otay Mesa FARs shall not be subject to proration with, or as a result of, nominations for alternate firm or interruptible service."

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that a copy of the **COMMENTS OF SEMPRA LNG ON THE PROPOSED DECISION** has been electronically mailed to each party of record on the service list in **A.04-12-004**. Any party on the service list who has not provided an electronic mail address was served by placing copies in properly addressed and sealed envelopes and depositing such envelopes in the United States Mail with first-class postage prepaid.

Copies were also sent via Federal Express to the Assigned Administrative Law Judge John S. Wong and Commissioner Geoffrey Brown.

Executed this 20 <sup>th</sup> day of November, 2006 at San Diego, California.
/s/ LISA FUCCI-ORTIZ
Lisa Fucci-Ortiz

## CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION **Service Lists**

Proceeding: A0412004 - SDG&E AND SOCAL GAS Filer: SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY

**List Name: LIST** 

Last changed: November 15, 2006

**Download the Comma-delimited File About Comma-delimited Files** 

**Back to Service Lists Index** 

## **Appearance**

KEITH MCCREA ATTORNEY AT LAW SUTHERLAND, ASBILL & BRENNAN 1275 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20004-2415

DOUGLAS W. RASCH ATTORNEY AT LAW EXXON MOBIL CORPORATION 800 BELL STREET, RM. 3497-0 HOUSTON, TX 77002

CRAIG V. RICHARDSON EL PASO CORPORATION - WESTERN PIPELINES EL PASO CORPORATION 2 NORTH NEVADA AVE. COLRADO SPRINGS, CA 80903 COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80944

STEPHEN G. KOERNER PO BOX 1087

PETER G. ESPOSITO CRESTED BUTTE CATALYSTS PO BOX 668 CRESTED BUTTE, CO 81224 NED GREENWOOD QUESTAR SOUTHERN TRAILS PIPELINE PO BOX 45360 SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84145-0360

RANDALL P. GABE MANAGER/GAS RESOURCES PLANNING SOUTHWEST GAS CORPORATION 5241 SPRING MOUNTAIN ROAD LAS VEGAS, NV 89150

BETH MUSICH REGULATORY CASE MANAGER SAN DIEGO GAS AND ELECTRIC 555 W. FIFTH STREET, GCT14D6 LOS ANGELES, CA 90013

DAVID J. GILMORE ATTORNEY AT LAW SEMPRA ENERGY

DAVID L. HUARD ATTORNEY AT LAW MANATT, PHELPS & PHILLIPS, LLP

555 WEST FIFTH STREET 11355 WEST OLYMPIC BOULEVARD LOS ANGELES, CA 90013-1011 LOS ANGELES, CA 90064

RANDALL W. KEEN

ATTORNEY AT LAW

MANATT, PHELPS & PHILLIPS, LLP

MANATT, PHELPS & PHILLIPS, LLP

11355 WEST OLYMPIC BLVD

LOS ANGELES, CA 90064 LOS ANGELES, CA 90064

NORMAN A. PEDERSEN

ATTORNEY AT LAW

HANNA AND MORTON, LLP

444 SOUTH FLOWER STREET, NO. 1500

LOS ANGELES, CA 90071

T. ALANA STEELE

ATTORNEY AT LAW

HANNA AND MORTON, LLP

444 S. FLOWER STREET, STE.1500

LOS ANGELES, CA 90071

HENRY WEISSMANN
ATTORNEY AT LAW
KERN OIL & REFINING CO.
MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON, LLP
180 EAST OCEAN BLVD., SUITE 1010
355 S. GRAND AVENUE, SUITE 3500
LONG BEACH, CA 90802 LOS ANGELES, CA 90071-1560

DANIEL W. DOUGLASS

ATTORNEY AT LAW

DOUGLASS & LIDDELL

DOUGLASS & LIDDELL

21700 OXNARD STREET, SUITE 1030

WOODLAND HILLS CA 91367 WOODLAND HILLS, CA 91367

GREGORY KLATT

ATTORNEY AT LAW

DOUGLASS & LIDDELL

21700 OXNARD STREET, SUITE 1030

WOODLAND HILLS, CA 91367

CASE ADMINISTRATION

LAW DEPARTMENT

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

2244 WALNUT GROVE AVENUE, ROOM 370

ROSEMEAD, CA 91770

DOUGLAS PORTER ROSEMEAD, CA 91770

GLORIA M. ING ATTORNEY AT LAW

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

2244 WALNUT GROVE AVENUE

ROSEMEAD CA 91770

ATTORNEY AT LAW

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

2244 WALNUT GROVE AVENUE ROSEMEAD, CA 91770

WALKER A. MATTHEWS, III
ATTORNEY AT LAW
ATTORNEY AT LAW
ATTORNEY AT LAW WALKER A. FILLING,
ATTORNEY AT LAW

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

2244 WALNUT GROVE AVENUE

CO. 01770

SAN DIEGO, CA. 92101

WILLIAM D, RAPP
ATTORNEY AT LAW
SEMPRA GLOBAL
SEMPRA LNG
101 ASH STREET, HQ-13
SAN DIEGO. CA 92101

WILLIAM TOBIN
SEMPRA GLOBAL
SEMPRA GLOBAL
SEMPRA DIEGO, CA 92101 SAN DIEGO, CA 92101

STEVEN C. NELSON

JOHN W. LESLIE STEVEN C. NELSON

ATTORNEY FOR SEMPRA ENERGY

101 ASH STREET HQ 13D

SAN DIEGO, CA 92101-3017

JOHN W. LESLIE

ATTORNEY AT LAW

LUCE, FORWARD, HAMILTON & SCRIPPS, LLP

11988 EL CAMINO REAL, SUITE 200 SAN DIEGO, CA 92130

THADDEUS THOMSON

OCCIDENTAL OF ELK HILLS, INC.

PO BOX 1001

THE UTILITY REFORM NETWORK

TUPMAN, CA 93276-1001

THE UTILITY REFORM NETWORK

711 VAN NESS AVENUE, SUITE 350

SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102

MARZIA ZAFAR

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY
601 VAN NESS AVENUE, SUITE 2060
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102

MICHEL FEIGH.
ATTORNEY AT LAW
THE UTILITY REFORM NETWORK (TURN)
711 VAN NESS AVENUE, SUITE 350
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102

EVELYN KAHL

ATTORNEY AT LAW

ALCANTAR & KAHL, LLP

120 MONTGOMERY STREET, SUITE 2200

SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94104

SEEMA SRINIVASAN

ATTORNEY AT LAW

ALCANTAR & KAHL, LLP

120 MONTGOMERY STREET, SUITE 2200

SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94104

SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94104

SETH HILTON
ATTORNEY AT LAW
STOEL RIVES
111 SUTTER ST., SUITE 700
ALISA N. STEIN
DORSEY AND WHITNEY LLP
555 CALIFORNIA ST STE. 1000
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94104-1513

JOSEPH M. KARP
ATTORNEY AT LAW
WINSTON & STRAWN
101 CALIFORNIA STREET
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94104-1513
FRANK R. LINDH
ATTORNEY AT LAW
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
77 BEALE STREET, B30A
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105

KEITH T. SAMPSON ATTORNEY AT LAW PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY GOODIN MACBRIDE SQUERI RITCHIE & DAY LLP

BRIAN T. CRAGG ATTORNEY AT LAW

77 BEALE STREET (PO BOX 7442) 505 SANSOME STREET, SUITE 900 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111

MICHAEL B. DAY ATTORNEY AT LAW GOODIN MACBRIDE SQUERI RITCHIE & DAY LLP DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE, LLP 505 SANSOME STREET, SUITE 900 505 MONTGOMERY STREET, SUITE 800 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-6533

CHRISTOPHER HILEN ATTORNEY AT LAW

WILLIAM H. BOOTH PATRICK G. MCGUIRE
ATTORNEY AT LAW CROSSBORDER ENERGY
LAW OFFICES OF WILLIAM H. BOOTH 2560 NINTH STREET, SUITE 213A
1500 NEWELL AVENUE, 5TH FLOOR BERKELEY, CA 94710 WALNUT CREEK, CA 94596

R. THOMAS BEACH

CROSSBORDER ENERGY
2560 NINTH STREET, SUITE 213A

CROSSBORDER ENERGY
2570 MEADOW WAY
CROSSBORDER ENERGY
2570 MEADOW WAY
CROSSBORDER ENERGY
2570 MEADOW WAY BERKELEY, CA 94710

HENRY NANJO

ATTORNEY AT LAW

DEPARTMENT OF GENERAL SERVICES

707 3RD STREET, 8TH FLOOR

WEST SACRAMENTO, CA 95691

DOUGLAS K. KERNER

ATTORNEY AT LAW

ELLISON, SCHNEIDER & HARRIS, LLP

2015 H STREET

SACRAMENTO, CA 95814

JEFFREY D. HARRIS
ATTORNEY AT LAW
ELLISON, SCHNEIDER & HARRIS, LLP
2015 H STREET
SACRAMENTO, CA 95814

JEFFREY D. HARRIS
ATTORNEY AT LAW
ELLISON & SCHNEIDER & HARRIS, LLP
2015 H STREET
SACRAMENTO CO

ELIZABETH WESTBY ELIZABETH WESTBY
ALCANTAR & KAHL, LLP PPM ENERGY
1300 SW FIFTH AVENUE, SUITE 1750
PORTLAND, OR 97201
PORTLAND. OR 97209

ROBERT FOSS

DONALD SCHOENBECK

RCS, INC.

1125 NW COUCH STREET, SUITE 700

900 WASHINGTON STREET, SUITE 780

VANCOUVER, WA 98660

## **Information Only**

ROBERT W. RAMAGE, JR. PRESIDENT PORT WESTWARD LNG, LLC PO BOX 627 CENTERPORT, NY 11721-0627

JOSEPH POWER RELIANT ENERGY, INC. 1901 NORTH MOORE STREET, SUITE 802 ARLINGTON, VA 22209

RALPH E. DENNIS DIRECTOR, REGULATORY AFFAIRS
FELLON-MCCORD & ASSOCIATES 9960 CORPORATE CAMPUS DRIVE, STE 2000 HOUSTON, TX 77001-0148 LOUISVILLE, KY 40223

GARY HINNERS RELIANT ENERGY, INC. PO BOX 148

KELLY ALLEN TRANSWESTERN PIPELINE COMPANY
REGULATORY AFFAIRS DEPARTMENT 5444 WESTHEIMER HOUSTON, TX 77056

ANITA HART SENIOR SPECIALIST/STATE REGULATORYAFFAIR SOUTHWEST GAS CORPORATION 5241 SPRING MOUNTAIN ROAD LAS VEGAS, NV 89150

ROBERT L. PETTINATO ROBERT L. PETTINATO

LOS ANGELES DEPT. OF WATER & POWER

111 NORTH HOPE STREET, RM. 1150

LOS ANGELES CA 90012

RANCH S. CHIELLY

MANATT, PHELPS & PHILLIPS LLP

11355 W. OLYMPIC BLVD.

LOS ANGELES, CA 90064

KAREN S. SNYDER

CURTIS KEBLER GOLDMAN, SACHS & CO.
2121 AVENUE OF THE STARS LOS ANGELES, CA 90067

J. RAZA LAWRENCE MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP 355 S. GRAND AVE, 35/F LOS ANGELES, CA 90071

STEVE ENDO PASADENA DEPARTMENT OF WATER & POWER CITY OF GLENDALE 150 S. LOS ROBLES PASADENA, CA 91101

STEVEN G. LINS OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY 613 EAST BROADWAY, SUITE 220 GLENDALE, CA 91206-4394

BRUNO JEIDER
BURBANK WATER & POWER
MAGNOLIA BLVD. BURBANK, CA 91502

ROGER PELOTE THE WILLIAMS COMPANY, INC. 12736 CALIFA STREET VALLEY VILLAGE, CA 91607

JAIRAM GOPAL JAIRAM GOPAL SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON 2244 WALNUT GROVE, QUAD 1C-G01 ROSEMEAD, CA 91770

MICHAEL S. ALEXANDER SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON 2244 WALNUT GROVE ROSEMEAD, CA 9177 ROSEMEAD, CA 91770

JOHN BURKHOLDER

BETA CONSULTING

2023 TUDOR LANE

FALLBROOK, CA 92028

ADRIAN E. SULLIVAN

SEMPRA ENERGY

REGULATORY LAW DEPARTMENT

101 ASH STREET, HQ13D

SAN DIEGO, CA 92101 REGULATORY LAW DEPARTMENT

YVONNE GROSS
REGULATORY POLICY MANAGER
SEMPRA ENERGY
4445 EASTGATE MALL, SUITE 100
SAN DIEGO, CA 92121

CENTRAL FILES SAN DIEGO GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

8330 CENTURY PARK COURT, CP31E

895 BROADWAY STREET

SAN DIEGO GA 02123 SAN DIEGO, CA 92123

ORLANDO B. FOOTE EL CENTRO, CA 92243-2341

ELSTON K. GRUBAUGH

BRUCE FOSTER IMPERIAL IRRIGATION DISTRICT

333 EAST BARIONI BLVD.

IMPERIAL, CA 92251

BRUCE FOSTER

VICE PRESIDENT

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

601 VAN NESS AVENUE, STE. 2040 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102

KAREN TERRANOVA KAREN TERRANOVA

ALCANTAR & KAHL, LLP

120 MONTGOMERY STREET, STE 2200

SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94104

QIAN LI

ASPEN ENVIRONMENTAL GROUP

235 MONTGOMERY ST. STE. 935

SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94104

QIAN LI

LYNN RISER

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

77 BEALE STREET, MAILCODE B9A

SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105

LYNN RISER

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

77 BEALE STREET

SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105

PAUL LACOURCIERE ATTORNEY AT LAW THELEN REID & PRIEST

EDWARD G. POOLE ATTORNEY AT LAW ANDERSON & POOLE

101 SECOND STREET, SUITE 1800 601 CALIFORNIA STREET, SUITE 1300 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94108-2818

CALIFORNIA ENERGY MARKETS

517-B POTRERO AVENUE

SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94110

SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111

SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111

LAW DEPARTMENT FILE ROOM
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY PO BOX 7442 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94120-7442

JOSEPH M. PAUL SENIOR CORPORATE COUNSEL DYNEGY, INC. 2420 CAMINO RAMON, SUITE 215 SAN RAMON, CA 94583

AVIS KOWALEWSKI CATHERINE E. YAP
CALPINE CORPORATION

3875 HOPYARD ROAD, SUITE 345
PLEASANTON, CA 94588

CATHERINE E. YAP
BARKOVICH AND YAP
OAKLAND, CA 94611 AVIS KOWALEWSKI
CALPINE CORPORATION

CATHERINE E. YAP

MRW & ASSOCIATES, INC. 1999 HARRISON STREET, SUITE 1440 OAKLAND, CA 94612

CALIFORNIA ISO LEGAL AND REGULATORY DEPARTMENT 151 BLUE RIVER ROAD FOLSOM, CA 95630

JUDITH SANDERS CALIFORNIA ISO 151 BLUE RAVINE ROAD FOLSOM, CA 95630

ERIN RANSLOW NAVIGANT CONSULTING, INC. 3100 ZINFANDEL DRIVE, SUITE 600 RANCHO CORDOVA, CA 95670-6078

GORDON PICKERING GORDON PICKERING
PRINCIPAL
NAVIGANT CONSULTING, INC.
3100 ZINFANDEL DRIVE, SUITE 600 RANCHO CORDOVA, CA 95670-6078

AUDRA HARTMANN LS POWER GENERATION 980 NINTH STREET, SUITE 1420 SACRAMENTO, CA 95814

MELANIE GILLETTE

DUKE ENERGY NORTH AMERICA
980 NINTH STREET, SUITE 1420
SACRAMENTO, CA 95814

KAREN LINDH
LINDH & ASSOCIATES
7909 WALERGA ROAD, NO. 112, PMB119
ANTELOPE, CA 95843

DAVID WHITE GAS TRANSMISSION NORTHWEST 1400 SW FIFTH AVE. PORTLAND, OR 97201

### **State Service**

ANDREW CAMPBELL CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION EXECUTIVE DIVISION ROOM 5304 505 VAN NESS AVENUE 505 VAN NESS AVENUE SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214

DIANA L. LEE CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION LEGAL DIVISION ROOM 4300 505 VAN NESS AVENUE SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214

JOHN S. WONG JOHN S. WONG

CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

DIVISION OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES

CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

LEGAL DIVISION ROOM 5106 505 VAN NESS AVENUE SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214

JOYCE ALFTON CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION RATEMAKING BRANCH AREA 4-A 505 VAN NESS AVENUE 505 VAN NESS AVENUE 505 VAN NESS AVENUE SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214

LYNN T. CAREW CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION EXECUTIVE DIVISION ROOM 5204 505 VAN NESS AVENUE

RAMESH RAMCHANDANI RAMESH RAMCHANDANI RICHARD A. MYERS
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION ENERGY COST OF SERVICE & NATURAL GAS BRA RATEMAKING BRANCH ROOM 4102 505 VAN NESS AVENUE SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214

BELINDA GATTI CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION EXECUTIVE DIVISION ROOM 5303

JACQUELINE GREIG CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION ENERGY COST OF SERVICE & NATURAL GAS BRA ROOM 4102 505 VAN NESS AVENUE SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214

JONATHAN BROMSON ROOM 4107 505 VAN NESS AVENUE

KELLY C. LEE CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION ENERGY COST OF SERVICE & NATURAL GAS BRA ROOM 4102

PEARLIE SABINO CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
ENERGY COST OF SERVICE & NATURAL GAS BRA
ROOM 4209 505 VAN NESS AVENUE SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214

> RICHARD A. MYERS AREA 4-A 505 VAN NESS AVENUE

ROBERT M. POCTA CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION ENERGY COST OF SERVICE & NATURAL GAS BRA 1516 NINTH STREET, MS-48 ROOM 4205 505 VAN NESS AVENUE SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214

BILL WOOD SACRAMENTO, CA 95814

KEN GLICK CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION 1516 NINTH STREET, MS-14 SACRAMENTO, CA 95814

**Top of Page Back to INDEX OF SERVICE LISTS**